

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/981,491	10/16/2001	Lawrence J. Gelin	7130-2	6040
7590 10/16/2003			EXAMINER	
	VILLE INTERNAT	SAN MARTIN, EDGARDO		
Legal Department P.O. Box 5108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Denver, CO			2837	

DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/981,491	GELIN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Edgardo San Martin	2837
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATORY Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dayout 1 if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a restantion. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	★ This action is non-final.	
 Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims 		
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application	cation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	rithdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers	and/or election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)] accepted or b) ☐ objected to by th	ne Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) d	isapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are require	ed in reply to this Office action.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority doc 	uments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received in A	pplication No
 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for the action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for de	·	
a) The translation of the foreign langua	age provisional application has be	een received.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Attachment(s)

6) Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/981,491

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onishi et al. (JP 409119177A).

With respect to Claim 1, Onishi et al. teach a sound deadening laminate, comprising a structural skin (Fig.1, Item 1) having a first face, and a layer of sound deadening material (Fig.1, Item 2), wherein the material has an equivalent Young's Modulus included between 50 and 600 psi and is attached to the first face of the structural skin to form a laminate structure (Abstract and ¶ [0014] - ¶ [0021]).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the Onishi et al. sound deadening laminate, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Art Unit: 2837

With respect to Claims 3 and 5, Onishi et al. teach the sound deadening board having a weight density less than or equal to about 14 pounds per cubic foot (Abstract and \P [0014] - \P [0016]).

2. Claims 2, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onishi et al. (JP 409111909A) in view of Onishi et al. (JP 409119177A).

With respect to Claim 2, Onishi et al. '909 teach a building componet assembly, comprising at least one assembly framing member (Fig.1) and at least one combination sound deadening board that is single laminate structure comprising a structural skin layer (Fig.1, Item 1) attached to a sound deadening material (Fig.1, Item 2), and the at least one combination sound deadening board is attached to the assembly framing member such that the sound deadening material faces the assembly framing member (Fig.1, Abstract and ¶ [0008] - ¶ [0015]). However, Onishi et al. '909 fail to disclose the sound deadening material having an equivalent Young's Modulus between 50 and 600 psi.

On the other hand, Onishi et al. '177 teach a structural skin (Fig.1, Item 1) having a first face, and a layer of sound deadening material (Fig.1, Item 2), wherein the material has an equivalent Young's Modulus between 50 and 600 psi and is attached to the first face of the structural skin to form a laminate structure (Abstract and ¶ [0014] - ¶ [0021]).

Application/Control Number: 09/981,491

Art Unit: 2837

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art to employ the Onishi et al. '177 sound deadening material as the Onishi et al. '909 layer of sound deadening material because the sound deadening material having an equivalent Young's Modulus between 50 and 600 psi would provide a high sound absorbing rate in a low frequency area even with a thin thickness of the material.

With respect to Claims 4 and 6, Onishi et al. '177 teach the sound deadening board having a weight density between about 9 and about 14 pounds per cubic foot (Abstract and ¶ [0014] - ¶ [0016]).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on September 25, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Examiner considers that the patents to Onishi et al. teach the limitations described by the claimed subject matter, as discussed above. The Examiner considers that the limitations described by the Applicant are optimum ranges of limitations already disclosed by the references of the prior art of record, and that any person with ordinary skill in the art would be suggested or motivated to work under the disclosed workable range. In addition, the Examiner is enclosing the translations of the Japanese documents, as requested by the Applicant.

Application/Control Number: 09/981,491 Page 5

Art Unit: 2837

Contact Information

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edgardo San Martin whose telephone number is (703)308-1050. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Nappi can be reached on (703)308-3370. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3431 for regular communications and (703)305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Edgardo San Martín Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2837

Class 181

October 3, 2003